IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

CUPP CYBERSECURITY, LLC and CUPP COMPUTING AS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TREND MICRO, INC., TREND MICRO AMERICA, INC., and TREND MICRO INCORPORATED,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:18-cv-01251-M

(Consolidated with Civil Action No. 3:20-cv-03206-M)

[TREND MICRO'S PROPOSED] VERDICT FORM

JURY QUESTIONS

QUESTION NO. 1: DIRECT INFRINGEMENT

Has CUPP proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Trend Micro directly infringes any of the following claims? (Answer "yes" or "no" for each product under each claim)

1a.	Claim 16 of the '272 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
ii.	The Worry-Free products	
iii.	Smart Protection Suites	
1b.	Claim 7 of the '079 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
iii.	The Worry-Free products	
iv.	Smart Protection Suites	
1c.	Claim 11 of the '202 Patent	
1c. i.	Claim 11 of the '202 Patent Portable Security 2 and 3	
i.	Portable Security 2 and 3	
i. 1d.	Portable Security 2 and 3 Claim 21 of the '202 Patent	
i. 1d. i.	Portable Security 2 and 3 Claim 21 of the '202 Patent Office Scan 10.6 or later	
i.1d.i.1e.	Portable Security 2 and 3 Claim 21 of the '202 Patent Office Scan 10.6 or later Claim 11 of the '444 Patent	
i.1d.i.1e.i.	Portable Security 2 and 3 Claim 21 of the '202 Patent Office Scan 10.6 or later Claim 11 of the '444 Patent Mobile Security for Enterprise	

v.	The Worry-Free products	
vi.	Smart Protection Suites	
1f.	Claim 21 of the '444 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
iii.	Apex One with XDR	
iv.	XDR for Users	
v.	The Worry-Free products	
vi.	Smart Protection Suites	
1g.	Claim 17 of the '400 Patent	
i.	Portable Security 2 and 3	
ii.	Office Scan / Apex One	
iii.	The Worry-Free products	
iv.	Smart Protection Suites	
1h.	Claim 1 of the '834 Patent	
i.	Deep Security	
ii.	Cloud One	
iii.	Deep Discovery Analyzer	
iv.	Deep Discovery Inspector	
v.	Deep Discovery Email Inspector	
1i.	Claim 11 of the '462 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	

ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
iii.	Vision One / XDR, Managed XDR	
iv.	The Worry-Free products	
v.	Smart Protection Suites	
1j.	Claim 1 of the '421 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
ii. iii.	Control Manager / Apex Central Vision One / XDR, Managed XDR	
	0 1	

Please proceed to Question No. 2.

QUESTION NO. 2: INDIRECT INFRINGEMENT

Has CUPP proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Trend Micro has indirectly infringed any of the following claims? (Answer "yes" or "no" for each product under each claim)

2a.	Cl	aim 16 of the '272 Patent	
	i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
	ii.	The Worry-Free products	
	iii.	Smart Protection Suites	
2b.	Cl	aim 21 of the '202 Patent	
	i.	Office Scan 10.6 or later	
2c.	Cl	aim 11 of the '444 Patent	
	i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
	ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
	iii.	Apex One with XDR	
	iv.	XDR for Users	
	v.	The Worry-Free products	
	vi.	Smart Protection Suites	
2d.	Cl	aim 21 of the '444 Patent	
	i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
	ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
	iii.	Apex One with XDR	
	iv.	XDR for Users	
	v.	The Worry-Free products	
	vi.	Smart Protection Suites	

2e.	Claim 17 of the '400 Patent		
	i.	Office Scan / Apex One	
	ii.	The Worry-Free products	
	iii.	Smart Protection Suites	
2f.	Cl	aim 1 of the '834 Patent	
	i.	Deep Security	
	ii.	Cloud One	
	iii.	Deep Discovery Analyzer	
	iv.	Deep Discovery Inspector	
	v.	Deep Discovery Email Inspector	
2g.	Cl	aim 11 of the '462 Patent	
-8,	i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
	ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
	iii.	Vision One / XDR, Managed XDR	
	iv.	The Worry-Free products	
	v.	Smart Protection Suites	
2h.	Cl	aim 1 of the '421 Patent	
	i.	Mobile Security Enterprise	
	ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
	iii.	Vision One / XDR, Managed XDR	
	iv.	The Worry-Free products	
	v.	Smart Protection Suites	

Please proceed to Question No. 3.

QUESTION NO. 3: JOINT INFRINGEMENT

Has CUPP proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Trend Micro has jointly infringed any of the following claims? (Answer "yes" or "no" for each product under each claim)

3a.	Claim 16 of the '272 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
ii.	The Worry-Free products	
iii.	Smart Protection Suites	
3b.	Claim 21 of the '202 Patent	
i.	Office Scan 10.6 or later	
3c.	Claim 11 of the '444 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
iii.	Apex One with XDR	
iv.	XDR for Users	
v.	The Worry-Free products	
vi.	Smart Protection Suites	
3d.	Claim 21 of the '444 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
iii.	Apex One with XDR	
iv.	XDR for Users	
v.	The Worry-Free products	
vi.	Smart Protection Suites	

3e.	Claim 1 of the '834 Patent	
i.	Deep Security	
ii.	Cloud One	
iii.	Deep Discovery Analyzer	
iv.	Deep Discovery Inspector	
v.	Deep Discovery Email Inspector	
3f.	Claim 11 of the '462 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security for Enterprise	
ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
iii.	Vision One / XDR, Managed XDR	
iv.	The Worry-Free products	
v.	Smart Protection Suites	
3g.	Claim 1 of the '421 Patent	
i.	Mobile Security Enterprise	
ii.	Control Manager / Apex Central	
iii.	Vision One / XDR, Managed XDR	
iv.	The Worry-Free products	
v.	Smart Protection Suites	

Please proceed to Question No. 4.

QUESTION NO. 4: INVALIDITY - OBVIOUSNESS

Has Trend Micro proven by clear and convincing evidence that any claim is invalid as obvious? (Answer "yes" or "no" for each claim below regardless of your answers to Question Nos. 1-3)

4a.	Claim 16 of the '272 Patent:	
4b.	Claim 7 of the '079 Patent:	
4c.	Claim 21 of the '202 Patent:	
4d.	Claim 11 of the '444 Patent:	
4e.	Claim 21 of the '444 Patent:	
4f.	Claim 17 of the '400 Patent:	
4g.	Claim 1 of the '834 Patent:	
4h.	Claim 11 of the '462 Patent:	
4i	Claim 1 of the 'A21 Patent'	

Please proceed to Question No. 5.

QUESTION NO. 5: INVALIDITY – WRITTEN DESCRIPTION

Has Trend Micro proven by clear and convincing evidence that Claim 11 of the '202 Patent is invalid for lack of written description? (Answer "yes" or "no" regardless of your answers to Question Nos. 1-4)

6. Claim 11 of the '202 Patent: _____

Please proceed to Question No. 6.

QUESTION NO. 6: DAMAGES

If you find any patent claim(s) infringed by Trend Micro and not invalid (that is to say you answered "yes" to one or more of Question Nos. 1-3, and "no" for all such infringed claims in Question Nos. 4-5), then proceed to answering this question on damages.

Otherwise, skip Question Nos. 6-7 and proceed to the end.

What total amount of reasonable royalty has CUPP proven by preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to recover?

(c) One-time payment of \$ _____for the life of the patent(s) you found to be valid and

through the date of trial based on a% royalty rate.
-OR-
through the date of trial based on \$ per unit.
-OR-

Please proceed to Question No. 7.

infringed.

QUESTION NO. 7: WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

If you found any one of Claim 17 of the '400 Patent, Claim 1 of the '834 Patent, Claim 11 of the '462 Patent, or Claim 1 of the '421 Patent infringed by Trend Micro and not invalid (that is to say, if you answered "yes" to any one of Question 1g-1j, Questions 2e-2h, Question 3e-3g, and you answered "no" for all such claims in Question No. 4), then proceed to answering this question on willful infringement.

Did CUPP prove by a preponderance of evidence that Trend Micro willfully infringed the following patent claims? (Answer "yes" or "no" for only the claims that you found were infringed and not invalid)

/a.	Claim 17 of the '400 Patent:	
7b.	Claim 1 of the '834 Patent:	
7c.	Claim 11 of the '462 Patent:	
7d.	Claim 1 of the '421 Patent:	

Please ensure you have answered all of the relevant questions completely and correctly, then the foreperson should sign and date the Verdict of the Jury.

VERDICT OF THE JURY

We, the jury,	have answered	the above	and fore	egoing q	uestions as	indicated,	and
herewith return the sa	ame into Court	as our ver	dict.				

Dated: November, 2022	
	FOREPERSON